

## Economic example of GSHPs and conventional new home mortgage

Consider a situation in which a ground source heat pump costs \$10000 more than a conventional heating and cooling system. It is projected to save \$800 per year in energy costs and \$100 per year in maintenance costs. Thus, the simple payback is 11 years [= \$10000 ÷ (\$800+\$100)]. However, a 30-year fixed rate mortgage at 6.75% APR is used to finance the new home. The energy inflation rate is 7%, the general inflation rate is 5%, and the maintenance inflation rate is 6%. Find the amount of time before the present worth will be positive for this investment.

Summary results: Although simple pay back periods for high efficiency equipment are not always attractive, they are often wise investments if the cost is rolled into a long term mortgage. In some case, energy savings can even exceed the added mortgage payment so the payback is immediate. As shown below, the GSHP has a two year payback (time for present worth to become positive). Furthermore, **SIMPLE** and well-designed systems have the advantage of requiring less maintenance than conventional equipment so economics is even more attractive.

Economic Analysis Using 30-Year Fixed Rate Loan with Inflation					
Added Cost of ECO	Loan Interest Rate (%)	Energy Inflation Rate (%)	Main. Inflation Rate (%)	Gen. Inflation Rate -CPI (%)	
10000	6.25	7	6	5	
Year One Energy Savings	Year One Maint. Cost*		Added Annual Payment (\$)	Added Monthly Payment (\$)	
600	-100		746.03	62.17	

  

Year	Energy Savings	Maint. Cost*	Net Cash Flow	Disc. NCF	Pres. Worth
1	600.00	-100.00	-46.03	-46.03	-46.03
2	642.00	-106.00	1.97	1.88	-44.15
3	686.94	-112.36	53.27	48.32	4.17
4	735.03	-119.10	108.10	93.38	97.55
5	786.48	-126.25	166.70	137.14	234.69
6	841.53	-133.82	229.33	179.68	414.37
7	900.44	-141.85	296.26	221.08	635.45
8	963.47	-150.36	367.80	261.39	896.84
9	1030.91	-159.38	444.27	300.70	1197.54
10	1103.08	-168.95	526.00	339.06	1536.60
11	1180.29	-179.08	613.35	376.54	1913.14
12	1262.91	-189.83	706.71	413.20	2326.34
13	1351.31	-201.22	806.51	449.09	2775.43
14	1445.91	-213.29	913.17	484.27	3259.71
15	1547.12	-226.09	1027.18	518.80	3778.50
16	1655.42	-239.66	1149.05	552.71	4331.22
17	1771.30	-254.04	1279.31	586.06	4917.28
18	1895.29	-269.28	1418.54	618.90	5536.18
19	2027.96	-285.43	1567.36	651.27	6187.46
20	2169.92	-302.56	1726.45	683.21	6870.67
21	2321.81	-320.71	1896.50	714.77	7585.44
22	2484.34	-339.96	2078.27	745.98	8331.42
23	2658.24	-360.35	2272.57	776.88	9108.29
24	2844.32	-381.97	2480.26	807.50	9915.80
25	3043.42	-404.89	2702.29	837.89	10753.69
26	3256.46	-429.19	2939.62	868.08	11621.77
27	3484.41	-454.94	3193.32	898.09	12519.86
28	3728.32	-482.23	3464.53	927.97	13447.83
29	3989.30	-511.17	3754.44	957.73	14405.56
30	4268.55	-541.84	4064.36	987.42	15392.98

\* If maintenance cost for alternative is greater than conventional system, year one maintenance cost is positive. If maintenance cost is less than for conventional, year one maintenance cost is negative.

**The programs EcoLoan30 and EcoLoan15 are available to perform analysis for other costs and rates.**